UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,538	12/28/2004	Yoshiko Hino	47233-0049-00-US (220489)	2594
	7590 08/20/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		PADEN, CAROLYN A		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,538	HINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carolyn A. Paden	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. relection requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-23-09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,538

Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanebo (JP 06-292544) in view of Weyersbach (5,389,394).

Kanebo discloses the preparation of a beverage containing fats and oils that is filled into a hermetically sealed container. Extracted solutions of cocoa beans are mixed with water and an emulsifying agent and the mixture is heated to less than 85C and then homogenized (abstract and Table 1). The homogenization step is said to create a stable beverage solution. The claims appear to differ from Kanebo in the preparation of the extract and in the use of a centrifuge in the process. Rusoff teaches extracting cocoa beans with hot water (see example 1 and column 3, lines 70-75). When fine particle sizes of the cacao beans are used, Rusoff suggests there is a need for filtering the extract (column 4, lines 39-47). The use of a centrifuge to filter the cocoa extract is described at column 4, lines

Art Unit: 1794

66-70. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the extraction method of Rusoff and centrifuge the extract of Kanebo to create a cacao extract containing smaller particles in it. It is appreciated that the temperature of centrifugation is not mentioned but one of ordinary skill in the art, who desired a high fat chocolate beverage, would be expected to centrifuge the cocoa above the melting point of the cocoa fat so that the fat does not solidify and be removed from the extract during processing. It is also appreciated that the fat content of the beverage is not mentioned but one of ordinary skill in the art would be expected to adjust the extent of fat extraction from the cacao nib by varying extraction time and the size of the cocoa nib as suggested by Rusoff (column 4, lines 30-44). Although milk is not mentioned, chocolate milk is known in the art. To add milk to chocolate would have been an obvious way to utilize the beverage of Kanebo.

The rejection of the claims over Weyersbach in view of Rusoff and Terauchi and Terauchi as further evidenced by Minifie have been dropped in response to applicants amendments to the claims. The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112 has also been withdrawn

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached by dialing 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carolyn Paden/

Application/Control Number: 10/519,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Primary Examiner 1794